PublisherInfo				
PublisherName		BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation		London		
PublisherImprintName	$\Box$	BioMed Central		

# Diagnosis of ventilator associated pneumonia, to invade or not?

ArticleInfo		
ArticleID	$\Box$	1655
ArticleDOI	:	10.1186/rr-2001-68589
ArticleCitationID	$\Box$	68589
ArticleSequenceNumber	$\Box$	66
ArticleCategory	:	Paper Report
ArticleFirstPage	$\Box$	1
ArticleLastPage		4
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate : 2001–9–20   Received : 2000–5–30   Accepted : 2001–9–20   OnlineDate : 2001–9–20
ArticleCopyright		Biomed Central Ltd2001
ArticleGrants		
ArticleContext	:	129312211

Aff1 The Royal Melbourne Hospital, Australian

#### Keywords

BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage, diagnosis, protected specimen brush sample, PSBS, ventilator-associated pneumonia

### Introduction

Optimal management of patients who are suspected of having VAP is controversial and open to debate. This trial addressed this problem by evaluating both outcomes and antibiotic use in two groups who received antibiotics based on direct examination of organisms recovered either by bronchoscopic means, or by simple endotracheal aspiration.

#### Comments

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) prolongs intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay and increases the risk of death in critically ill patients. A presumptive diagnosis is often made when a patient on a ventilator develops new radiographically detected infiltrates with signs of new sepsis. One can adopt a noninvasive strategy of treatment based on endotracheal aspirates; however, this may lead to overestimation of VAP. This study compares a non invasive strategy with an invasive approach using scrupulous bronchoscopy based collection of specimens using bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or protected specimen brush sample (PSBS) and quantitative cultures. In both groups, antibiotics were held either until a Gram stain was positive or if they had signs of severe sepsis. The antibiotic choice was the same (ATS guideline - additional comment below); the only difference was the sampling technique prior to antibiotic use, ie endotracheal sample or bronchoscopic sample. Based on their finding of reduced mortality at 14 days, less antibiotic use and earlier attenuation of organ dysfunction, the authors recommend this approach. However, we need to contrast this against the availability of resources, practicality and costs of adopting such an approach in all patients. Also of interest was the disappearance of this mortality benefit at 28 days. The false negative sampling errors or limitations of a PSBS in the diagnosis of VAP also needs to be borne in mind.

#### Methods

Multicentre (31 ICUs), prospective, randomized, unblinded and controlled trial

Age >18 years, mechanical ventilation >48 h

VAP defined as a persistent and new radiographic infiltrate associated with at least one of the following:

Purulent tracheal secretions

Fever >38.3°C

Leukocytosis

Excluded if commenced on an antibiotic therapy in 3 days prior to enrollment

The initial use of empiric antibiotics was guided by Gram stain and the American Thoracic Society guidelines on hospital acquired pneumonia. The antibiotics were continued for 14 days (see below)

Patients were randomized to either clinical management group (specimen collected using a mucus collector without instilling saline) or in the invasive group (samples taken via bronchoscopy with either bronchoalveolar lavage, PSBS, or both).

Gram stains were positive in 180/209 in the clinical group, as opposed to 87/204 in the invasive strategy group

If the Gram stain was negative no antibiotics were used. Antibiotics were also modified on the basis of quantitative cultures (PSBS yielding >103 colony forming units [CFUs]/mL, BAL yielding >104 CFU/mL) or qualitative cultures (endotracheal samples).

#### Results

The 14-day mortality was statistically different in the two groups: 16.2% in the invasive group compared to 25.8% in the clinical management group (-9.6 percentage points, 95% CI, -17.4 to -1.8 percentage points, P = 0.022). The mean SOFA (sequential organ failure assessment) scores were significantly lowered at 7 and 14 days but not at 28 days in the invasive group. This group also received significantly fewer antibiotics and had more antibiotic-free days. In addition, infection or colonization by Candida species was more common in the clinical management group (22.6% vs 11.3%, P = 0.0025).

## Additional information

#### References

1. Fagon J-Y, Chastre J, Wolff M, Geravis C, Parer-Aubas S, Stephan F, Similowski T, Mercat A, Diehl J-L, Sollet J-P, Tenaillon A, VAP trial group: Invasive and noninvasive strategies for management of suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia, a randomized controlled trial. Ann Intern Med. 2000, 132: 621-630.

This PDF file was created after publication.