

Research

Open Access

PPAR α downregulates airway inflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide in the mouse

Carine Delayre-Orthez¹, Julien Becker¹, Isabelle Guenon², Vincent Lagente², Johan Auwerx³, Nelly Frossard¹ and Françoise Pons*¹

Address: ¹EA 3771, Inflammation et environnement dans l'asthme, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université Louis Pasteur-Strasbourg I, Illkirch, France, ²INSERM U620, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques, Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France and ³Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, CNRS/Inserm/ULP, Illkirch, France

Email: Carine Delayre-Orthez - orthez@pharma.u-strasbg.fr; Julien Becker - becker@pharma.u-strasbg.fr; Isabelle Guenon - isabelle.guenon@rennes.inserm.fr; Vincent Lagente - vincent.lagente@univ-rennes1.fr; Johan Auwerx - auwerx@igbmc.u-strasbg.fr; Nelly Frossard - frossard@pharma.u-strasbg.fr; Françoise Pons* - pons@pharma.u-strasbg.fr

* Corresponding author

Published: 09 August 2005

Received: 26 January 2005

Respiratory Research 2005, 6:91 doi:10.1186/1465-9921-6-91

Accepted: 09 August 2005

This article is available from: <http://respiratory-research.com/content/6/1/91>

© 2005 Delayre-Orthez et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Inflammation is a hallmark of acute lung injury and chronic airway diseases. In chronic airway diseases, it is associated with profound tissue remodeling. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α (PPAR α) is a ligand-activated transcription factor, that belongs to the nuclear receptor family. Agonists for PPAR α have been recently shown to reduce lipopolysaccharide (LPS)- and cytokine-induced secretion of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) in human monocytes and rat mesangial cells, suggesting that PPAR α may play a beneficial role in inflammation and tissue remodeling.

Methods: We have investigated the role of PPAR α in a mouse model of LPS-induced airway inflammation characterized by neutrophil and macrophage infiltration, by production of the chemoattractants, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), keratinocyte derived-chemokine (KC), macrophage inflammatory protein-2 (MIP-2) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and by increased MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). The role of PPAR α in this model was studied using both PPAR α -deficient mice and mice treated with the PPAR α activator, fenofibrate.

Results: Upon intranasal exposure to LPS, PPAR α ^{-/-} mice exhibited greater neutrophil and macrophage number in BALF, as well as increased levels of TNF- α , KC, MIP-2 and MCP-1, when compared to PPAR α ^{+/+} mice. PPAR α ^{-/-} mice also displayed enhanced MMP-9 activity. Conversely, fenofibrate (0.15 to 15 mg/day) dose-dependently reduced the increase in neutrophil and macrophage number induced by LPS in wild-type mice. In animals treated with 15 mg/day fenofibrate, this effect was associated with a reduction in TNF- α , KC, MIP-2 and MCP-1 levels, as well as in MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity. PPAR α ^{-/-} mice treated with 15 mg/day fenofibrate failed to exhibit decreased airway inflammatory cell infiltrate, demonstrating that PPAR α mediates the anti-inflammatory effect of fenofibrate.

Conclusion: Using both genetic and pharmacological approaches, our data clearly show that PPAR α downregulates cell infiltration, chemoattractant production and enhanced MMP activity triggered by LPS in mouse lung. This suggests that PPAR α activation may have a beneficial effect in acute or chronic inflammatory airway disorders involving neutrophils and macrophages.

Background

Inflammation is a feature of both acute lung injury and chronic airway diseases. In chronic airway diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), it is associated with profound tissue remodeling that contributes to impaired lung function [1]. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which are biological active components of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, are important inducers of lung inflammation. Inflammatory response triggered by LPS is characterized by neutrophil and macrophage recruitment and by the release of chemoattractants including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and the CXC and CC chemokines, interleukin-8 (IL-8) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), respectively [2-5]. These inflammatory events reproduce some of the features of the inflammatory response observed during acute lung injury or COPD [1,6].

In mice, airway inflammation induced by LPS is associated with an increase of the matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), MMP-2 and MMP-9 [7,8]. MMP are a family of zinc- and calcium-dependent endopeptidases that play a major role in tissue remodeling [9,10]. Indeed, MMP degrade the majority of the extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, including collagens, gelatins and proteoglycans, an activity which may contribute to lung injury by promoting infiltration across basement membrane and activation of inflammatory cells [9,11]. Among MMP, MMP-2 (gelatinase A) preferentially produced by fibroblasts and other connective tissue cells, and MMP-9 (gelatinase B) mainly found in inflammatory cells, such as neutrophils and macrophages are of particular interest, since they cleave the major constituent of basement membrane, type IV collagen [9,10].

With the exception of neutrophils, normal tissues do not store MMP and constitutive expression is minimal. However, during inflammation and tissue remodeling, MMP expression is upregulated [9]. Levels or activity of several MMP have been found to be raised in animal models of acute lung injury (for review: [12]). Upregulation of MMP was also observed in chronic airway diseases associated with tissue remodeling, such as asthma and COPD (for review: [1,13]). Indeed, increased levels of MMP-9 have been reported in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), blood or sputum from patients with asthma or COPD [14-17].

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α (PPAR α) is a ligand-activated transcription factor, that belongs to the nuclear receptor family. PPAR α regulates gene expression by binding as a heterodimeric complex with the retinoid X receptor to specific DNA sequences known as peroxisome proliferator response elements. PPAR α was first identified for its role in the regulation of lipid and carbo-

hydrate metabolism (for reviews: [18,19]). However, subsequent data have demonstrated that it exhibits also a potent anti-inflammatory activity. Indeed, mice deficient in PPAR α (PPAR α ^{-/-}) were reported to display an exacerbated reaction to various inflammatory stimuli, including LPS in the skin and the vessel [20-22]. Conversely, animals treated with PPAR α activators such as fibrates exhibited a decreased response. Anti-inflammatory activity of fibrates appeared as unrelated to their lipid-lowering activity, since treatment with fenofibrate was shown to reduce inflammatory response associated with cerebral injury in absence of any improvement in plasma lipid levels in the mouse [23]. More recently, PPAR α agonists were shown to reduce LPS- and cytokine-induced MMP-9 secretion in human monocytes and rat mesangial cells, suggesting that PPAR α may also play a beneficial role in tissue remodeling [24,25].

We have here investigated the role of PPAR α in a mouse model of LPS-induced airway inflammation characterized by cell infiltration, production of chemoattractants and increased MMP activity. This study was undertaken using both PPAR α -deficient mice and mice treated with the PPAR α activator, fenofibrate.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male wild-type (PPAR α ^{+/+}) and homozygous knockout (PPAR α ^{-/-}) mice (SV/129/C57BL/6) were expanded from breeding pairs [26] and used at the age of 9 weeks. Nine-week-old male C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Saint-Germain-sur-l'Arbresle, France). Animals were maintained under controlled environmental conditions with a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle according to the EU guide for use of laboratory animals. Food (UAR-Alimentation, Villemoisson, France) and tap water were available ad libitum. Animal experimentation was conducted with the approval of the government body that regulates animal research in France.

LPS administration

LPS (*Escherichia coli*, serotype 055:B5, Sigma Chemical, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) prepared in saline was administered by i.n. instillation for 4 consecutive days at the dose of 40 μ g/kg. Control animals received saline instead of LPS. Instillations (12.5 μ l per nostril) were carried out under anaesthesia (50 mg/kg ketamine and 3.33 mg/kg xylazine given i.p.).

Treatment with fenofibrate

Fenofibrate (Sigma Chemical) suspended in 1% carboxymethylcellulose (low viscosity, Sigma) in water was administered per os once daily for 10 days at increasing doses (0.15 to 15 mg/day), as previously described [27]. Duration of treatment was selected from a previous study

showing protection against myocardial injury in mice [28]. Control animals received equivalent volumes (100 μ l) of 1% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) in similar conditions.

Collection of bronchoalveolar lavage fluids

Eighteen to twenty-four hours after the last LPS administration, mice were anaesthetized by i.p. injection of ketamine (150 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). A plastic cannula was inserted into the trachea and airways were lavaged by 10 instillations of 0.5 ml ice-cold saline supplemented with 2.6 mM EDTA (saline-EDTA). BALF recovered from the two first instillations were centrifuged (4100 rpm for 5 min at 4°C), and the resulting supernatant was stored at -20°C until MMP and cytokine measurements.

Determination of total and differential cell counts

BALF were centrifuged (1200 rpm for 5 min at 4°C) to pellet cells and erythrocytes were lysed by hypotonic shock. Cells were then resuspended in 500 μ l ice-cold saline-EDTA and total cell counts were determined using a hemocytometer (Neubauer's chamber). Differential cell counts were assessed on cytologic preparations obtained by cytocentrifugation (Cytospin 3, Shandon Ltd, Runcorn, Cheshire, UK) of 200 μ l of diluted BALF (250 000 cells/ml in ice-cold saline-EDTA). Slides were stained with Hemacolor (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and determinations were performed by counting at least 400 cells for each preparation. Cells were identified as macrophages and neutrophils, and expressed as absolute numbers from total cell counts.

Determination of cytokine and chemokine levels

Tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), keratinocyte derived-chemokine (KC), macrophage inflammatory protein-2 (MIP-2) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) were quantified in BALF using capture ELISA kits according to instructions provided by the manufacturers (PharMingen for TNF- α and R&D Systems Europe (Lille, France) for KC, MIP-2 and MCP-1).

Gelatin zymography for determination of gelatinase activity

BALF samples were separated under non-reducing conditions by electrophoresis on a 7% acrylamide-separating gel containing 1 mg/ml gelatin and sodium dodecyl sulfate, as previously described [7]. After electrophoresis, gels were washed twice with 2.5% Triton X-100, rinsed with water and incubated overnight at 37°C in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0 containing 5 mM CaCl₂ and 1 nM ZnCl₂. Gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant blue and destained in a 25% ethanol and 10% acetic acid solution. Gelatinase (MMP-2 and MMP-9) activities that appeared as clear bands against a blue background were quantified by

measuring intensity of the bands by densitometry using the Densylab software (Bioprobe Systems, Les Ulis, France). Results were expressed as percentages of the intensity of a given sample loaded as internal standard onto each gel.

Histology

Lungs were perfused *in situ*, collected and immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C. Fixed lungs were rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin using standard procedures. Five-micrometer tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin and observed under light microscopy.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means \pm SEM. Statistical differences were analyzed from raw data by analysis of variance followed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test with a Bonferroni correction.

Results

Increased cell infiltration, chemoattractant production and MMP activity in PPAR α ^{-/-} mice upon exposure to LPS

Saline-exposed PPAR α ^{-/-} mice exhibited no differences in total cell and macrophage count in BALF when compared to saline-exposed PPAR α ^{+/+} animals (Figure 1). Upon exposure to LPS, both PPAR α ^{+/+} and PPAR α ^{-/-} mice displayed a significant increase in total cell, neutrophil and macrophage number, when compared to animals exposed to saline (Figure 1). However, these increases were 2.9- ($p < 0.0001$), 5.0- ($p < 0.0001$) and 1.9-fold ($p < 0.0001$) greater, respectively in PPAR α ^{-/-} mice than in PPAR α ^{+/+} mice (Figure 1).

Cell infiltration induced by LPS was associated with a significant increase in BALF levels of the chemoattractants, TNF- α , KC and MCP-1 in both PPAR α ^{+/+} and PPAR α ^{-/-} mice (Figure 2). These levels were however 1.5- ($p = 0.0003$), 2.3- ($p = 0.0008$) and 3.5-fold ($p = 0.0012$) greater, respectively in PPAR α ^{-/-} animals when compared to PPAR α ^{+/+} mice (Figure 2). PPAR α ^{-/-} mice exposed to LPS also displayed a significant rise in MIP-2 in BALF (2.0-fold, $p = 0.0065$), whereas LPS-treated PPAR α ^{+/+} animals exhibited no changes in this chemokine.

Saline-exposed PPAR α ^{-/-} mice exhibited similar low MMP-2 (76 kDa) and MMP-9 (105 kDa) activity in BALF when compared to saline-exposed PPAR α ^{+/+} animals (Figure 3). Upon exposure to LPS, PPAR α ^{+/+} and PPAR α ^{-/-} mice displayed a significant increase in both MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity, when compared to animals exposed to saline (Figure 3). MMP-2 levels were similar in LPS-treated PPAR α ^{-/-} and PPAR α ^{+/+} mice (61 ± 8 vs 58 ± 4). In contrast, MMP-9 levels were 1.8-fold ($p < 0.0001$) greater in PPAR α ^{-/-} animals than in PPAR α ^{+/+} mice.




Figure 1
Number of total cells, neutrophils and macrophages in BALF from PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ (+/+) and PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ (-/-) mice exposed to LPS or saline. Data are mean \pm SEM of n = 10–13 animals. Statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$: (*) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice treated with saline; (#) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice treated with saline; and (\$) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice treated with LPS.

Reduced cell infiltration, chemoattractant production and MMP activity in wild-type mice upon PPAR α activation by fenofibrate

Exposure to LPS resulted in marked increases in total cell, neutrophil and macrophage number in BALF from C57BL/6 mice (Figure 4). These increases were dose-dependently reduced by fenofibrate (0.15 to 15 mg/day). Reduction in total cell, neutrophil and macrophage number reached 80% ($p < 0.0001$), 91% ($p < 0.0001$) and 64% ($p < 0.0001$), respectively in BALF from mice treated with 15 mg/kg of the PPAR α activator when compared to mice treated with the vehicle, CMC (Figure 4). Fenofibrate (15 mg/day) inhibited also total cell ($p = 0.0055$), neutrophil ($p < 0.0001$) and macrophage ($p = 0.0064$) infiltrate induced by LPS in PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice (Table 1). In contrast, LPS-exposed PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice treated with 15 mg/day fenofibrate failed to exhibit changes in inflammatory cell infiltrate, demonstrating that PPAR α mediates the anti-inflammatory activity of fenofibrate (Table 1).

Histological examination of lung tissue confirmed the anti-inflammatory effect of fenofibrate. Indeed, whereas a




Figure 2
Chemoattractant levels in BALF from PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ (+/+) and PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ (-/-) mice exposed to LPS or saline. Data are mean \pm SEM of n = 9–12 animals. Statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$: (*) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice treated with saline; (#) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice treated with saline; and (\$) when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice treated with LPS.

massive inflammatory cell infiltration was observed in perivascular and alveolar tissue of C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS and treated with CMC when compared to mice exposed to saline (Figure 5A et 5B), a marked reduction in cell infiltration was observed on lung sections from mice exposed to LPS and treated with fenofibrate (Figure 5C).

C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS and treated with CMC displayed also increases in TNF- α , KC, MIP-2 and MCP-1 in BALF when compared to saline-exposed mice (Figure 6A). Treatment with fenofibrate (15 mg/day) inhibited these increases by 59% ($p < 0.0001$), 50% ($p = 0.0015$), 30% ($p = 0.0058$) and 69% ($p < 0.0001$), respectively (Figure 6A).




Figure 3
MMP-2 (76 kDa) and MMP-9 (105 kDa) activity in BALF from PPAR α ^{+/+} (+/+) and PPAR α ^{-/-} (-/-) mice exposed to LPS or saline. Upper panel shows gelatin zymogram from two representative animals in each group. Lower panel shows data of all animals in each group (n = 10–13) expressed as mean \pm SEM. Statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$: (*) when compared to PPAR α ^{+/+} mice treated with saline; (#) when compared to PPAR α ^{-/-} mice treated with saline; and (\$) when compared to PPAR α ^{+/+} mice treated with LPS.

Table 1: Cell infiltration in LPS-exposed PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ and PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice treated with fenofibrate.

Group	Number of cells ($\times 10^6$)		
	Total	Neutrophils	Macrophages
(+/+)-LPS-CMC	1.93 \pm 0.11	0.95 \pm 0.11	0.98 \pm 0.13
(+/+)-LPS-FF	0.73 \pm 0.08 (*)	0.23 \pm 0.07 (*)	0.50 \pm 0.05 (*)
(-/-)-LPS-CMC	3.46 \pm 0.38 (*)	1.85 \pm 0.28 (*)	1.60 \pm 0.25 (*)
(-/-)-LPS-FF	3.13 \pm 0.54 (n.s.)	1.73 \pm 0.30 (n.s.)	1.39 \pm 0.28 (n.s.)

Data are mean \pm SEM of n = 6–8 animals. (*): statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$ when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ mice treated with CMC. (n.s.): non statistically different when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice treated with CMC.




Figure 4
Dose-dependent reduction of cell infiltration in wild-type mice exposed to LPS upon PPAR α activation by fenofibrate. Number of total cells, neutrophils and macrophages in BALF from C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS and treated with increasing doses of fenofibrate (0.15 to 15 mg/day) or its vehicle (1% CMC), when compared to mice exposed to saline and treated with CMC. Data are mean \pm SEM of n = 6 animals. Statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$: (*) when compared to mice exposed to saline and treated with CMC; (#) when compared to mice exposed to LPS and treated with CMC.

Treatment with fenofibrate (15 mg/day) also dramatically reduced LPS-induced increase in MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity (Figure 6B). Indeed, whereas MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity was increased by 1.8- ($p < 0.0001$) and 3.6-fold ($p < 0.0001$), respectively in BALF from LPS-exposed mice treated with CMC when compared to saline-exposed

mice, animals exposed to LPS and treated with fenofibrate displayed MMP levels similar to those measured in saline-exposed animals.

Discussion

In this study, we have addressed the role of PPAR α in a mouse model of LPS-induced airway inflammation. Using both genetic and pharmacological approaches, our data clearly showed that PPAR α downregulates cell infiltration, chemoattractant production and enhanced MMP activity triggered by LPS in mouse lung.

As expected, wild-type mice exposed to LPS exhibited a massive recruitment of inflammatory cells in the airways, composed of neutrophils and macrophages. This cell infiltration was associated with an increase in BALF levels of the pro-inflammatory and chemoattractant cytokine, TNF- α and by a rise in the levels of the CXC chemokines, MIP-2 and KC and of the CC chemokine, MCP-1. Exposure to LPS also induced a marked increase in MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity in BALF, when compared to saline exposure. This model reproduced several features of the inflammatory response observed during acute lung injury or COPD [1,6,13]. Using this model, we found that PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice exposed to LPS displayed enhanced neutrophil and macrophage number in BALF when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ animals, whereas wild-type mice treated with the PPAR α activator, fenofibrate exhibited reduced cell infiltrate. Furthermore, we demonstrated fenofibrate selectivity by showing absence of effect of fenofibrate in PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ animals. Taken together, these results suggest that PPAR α activation may have a beneficial effect in airway inflammatory diseases involving neutrophil and monocyte recruitment. In agreement with our results, Birrell et al. recently proposed that agonists of another PPAR receptor, PPAR γ may have a therapeutic potential in respiratory diseases involving neutrophilia [29]. Our study adds to these previous findings by showing that PPAR α agonists may also be effective in blocking recruitment of monocytes, which play a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of COPD, as well as of pulmonary fibrosis. By con-




Figure 5
Histological analysis of lung tissue from wild-type mice. Lung sections showing a massive inflammatory cell infiltrate in perivascular and alveolar tissue of C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS and treated with CMC (B), when compared to mice exposed to saline (A). Reduced cell infiltrate in lung tissue from mice exposed to LPS and treated with fenofibrate (C).

trast, Trifilieff et al. found that PPAR α ligands failed to inhibit neutrophil recruitment induced by LPS in BALF from mice [30]. Differences in the mode of exposure to LPS could explain this discrepancy. Indeed, whereas these authors exposed female mice intranasally to a single high dose of LPS (0.3 mg/kg) for a short period of time (3 h), the present study was carried out in male animals using four repeated instillations of a 7.5-fold lower dose of LPS (40 μ g/kg). Indeed, these modes of exposure may trigger different inflammatory responses. Likewise, nature (GW 9578 vs fenofibrate) and route of delivery (local vs oral) of PPAR α agonists may be another source of discrepancy. Therefore, by both genetic and pharmacological approaches, our data clearly demonstrate that PPAR α downregulates neutrophil and monocyte infiltration in mouse lung.

We also found that PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice exposed to LPS displayed increased levels of TNF- α in BALF when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ animals, whereas wild-type mice treated with fenofibrate exhibited reduced TNF- α levels. As a pro-inflammatory cytokine, TNF- α that is released by macrophages or airway epithelial cells upon activation plays an important role in neutrophilic inflammation induced by LPS [4]. Indeed, TNF- α triggers the release of CXC chemokines, such as MIP-2 and KC that are involved in LPS-induced intrapulmonary recruitment of neutrophils [2,3]. As well, MCP-1, which plays a central role in monocyte recruitment to inflamed tissues, is produced by pulmonary macrophages and airway epithelial cells in response to TNF- α or LPS [31,32]. In the present study, release of MIP-2, KC and MCP-1 triggered by LPS instillation was greater in BALF from PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ animals. Conversely, wild-type mice treated with fenofibrate displayed decreased levels of these chemokines when compared to vehicle-treated animals. Taken together, our results suggest that downregulation of TNF- α and of the CXC and C-C chemokines, MIP-2, KC and MCP-1 contributes to PPAR α -induced inhibition of neutrophil and macrophage airway recruitment in our model.

PPAR α agonists were recently reported to reduce LPS- and IL-1 β -induced secretion of MMP-9 in human monocytes and rat mesangial cells, respectively [24,25]. However, the effect of PPAR α on MMP production in vivo is so far unknown. In the present study, we demonstrate that PPAR α downregulates increase in MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity triggered by LPS in mouse lung. Indeed, whereas PPAR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice displayed a greater increase in MMP activity in BALF upon exposure to LPS when compared to PPAR $\alpha^{+/+}$ animals, wild-type mice exposed to LPS exhibited decreased levels of MMP when treated by fenofibrate. Sources of MMP in the lung are numerous, particularly under inflammatory conditions. Among them, neutrophils and macrophages are considered as the major




Figure 6
Reduced chemoattractant production and MMP activity in wild-type mice upon PPAR α activation by fenofibrate. Chemoattractant levels (A) and MMP-2 and MMP-9 activity (B) in BALF from C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS and treated with fenofibrate (15 mg/day, black bars) or its vehicle (1% CMC, grey bars), when compared to mice exposed to saline and treated with CMC (open bars). Data are mean \pm SEM of $n = 7-8$ animals. Statistically significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$: (*) when compared to mice exposed to saline and treated with CMC; (#) when compared to mice exposed to LPS and treated with CMC.

sources of MMP-9 [11]. Therefore, downregulation of MMP-9 production by PPAR α may result from decreased cell infiltration. In neutrophils, MMP-9 is stored in spe-

cific granules from which it is readily released, in particular upon stimulation by LPS or chemoattractant factors, like IL-8 [33]. Downregulation of MMP-9 production by PPAR α could alternatively result from decreased neutrophil activation. MMP-9 is believed to play a major role in lung remodeling. Indeed, in addition to digestion of extracellular matrix proteins, MMP-9 increases the activity of other proteases, as well as of chemoattractants and growth factors (for review: [34]). By providing evidence that PPAR α downregulates MMP activity in vivo, our study reinforces the idea that the nuclear receptor PPAR α may play a beneficial role in tissue remodeling.

Several studies have reported that PPAR α inhibits the NF- κ B pathway, which plays a critical role in LPS signaling as well as in the expression of the chemokines, MIP-2, KC and MCP-1 and of MMP-9 [35]. This property could account for the beneficial effect of PPAR α observed in the present study. However, several other mechanisms could be involved. This includes production of anti-inflammatory mediators, such as IL-10. Indeed, fenofibrate was reported to suppress autoimmune myocarditis in rats by stimulating expression of this cytokine [36]. As well, inhibition of cell recruitment could be implicated. Thus, activation of PPAR α was reported to inhibit chemotaxis of inflammatory cells, including macrophages [37,38]. Finally, resolution of inflammation through stimulation of inflammatory cell apoptosis may also be involved, since activation of PPAR α was shown to induce apoptosis of macrophages [39].

Conclusion

In conclusion, using both genetic and pharmacological approaches, our study provides evidence that PPAR α downregulates neutrophil and monocyte infiltration induced by LPS in mouse lung. Our data also demonstrated that this beneficial effect of PPAR α involves downregulation of the production of neutrophil and monocyte chemoattractants, including the CXC and C-C chemokines, MIP-2, KC and MCP-1, and of MMP that play a major role in tissue remodeling. We postulate that PPAR α agonists, and in particular fenofibrate may have a therapeutic potential in airway inflammatory disorders involving neutrophil and monocyte, such as acute lung injury and COPD.

List of abbreviations

BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid

CMC: carboxymethylcellulose

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

IL: interleukin

KC: keratinocyte derived-chemokine

LPS: lipopolysaccharide

MIP-2: macrophage inflammatory protein-2

MMP: matrix metalloproteinase

PPAR: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor

MCP-1: monocyte chemoattractant protein-1

TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor- α

Authors' contributions

CDO, JB and IG have made substantial contributions to acquisition and analysis of data.

CDO, VL and FP have made substantial contributions to conception and design of the study.

CDO and FP have been involved in drafting the article.

JA, NF and VL have been involved in revising the article critically for important intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Université Louis Pasteur and Fonds de Recherche GIP Aventis. Carine Delayre-Orthez was supported by a joint PhD grant from ADEME and Région Alsace, and by the Société de Pneumologie de Langue Française. The PPAR $\alpha_{-/-}$ mice used in this study were a kind gift of Dr F.Gonzalez at the NHCI in Bethesda.

References

- Barnes PJ, Shapiro SD, Pauwels RA: **Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: molecular and cellular mechanisms.** *Eur Respir J* 2003, **22**:672-688.
- Frevert CW, Huang S, Danaee H, Paulauskis JD, Kobzik L: **Functional characterization of the rat chemokine KC and its importance in neutrophil recruitment in a rat model of pulmonary inflammation.** *J Immunol* 1995, **154**:335-344.
- Schmal H, Shanley TP, Jones ML, Friedl HP, Ward PA: **Role for macrophage inflammatory protein-2 in lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury in rats.** *J Immunol* 1996, **156**:1963-1972.
- Skerrett SJ, Martin TR, Chi EY, Peschon JJ, Mohler KM, Wilson CB: **Role of the type I TNF receptor in lung inflammation after inhalation of endotoxin or Pseudomonas aeruginosa.** *Am J Physiol* 1999, **276**:L715-727.
- Kopydlowski KM, Salkowski CA, Cody MJ, van Rooijen N, Major J, Hamilton TA, Vogel SN: **Regulation of macrophage chemokine expression by lipopolysaccharide in vitro and in vivo.** *J Immunol* 1999, **163**:1537-1544.
- Goodman RB, Pugin J, Lee JS, Matthay MA: **Cytokine-mediated inflammation in acute lung injury.** *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2003, **14**:523-535.
- Corbel M, Theret N, Caulet-Maugendre S, Germain N, Lagente V, Clement B, Boichot E: **Repeated endotoxin exposure induces interstitial fibrosis associated with enhanced gelatinase (MMP-2 and MMP-9) activity.** *Inflamm Res* 2001, **50**:129-135.
- Corbel M, Germain N, Lanchou J, Molet S, PM RS, Martins MA, Boichot E, Lagente V: **The selective phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor RP 73-401 reduced matrix metalloproteinase 9 activity and transforming growth factor-beta release during acute lung injury in mice: the role of the balance between Tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-10.** *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2002, **301**:258-265.
- Nagase H, Woessner JFJ: **Matrix metalloproteinases.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:21491-21494.
- Murphy G, Docherty AJ: **The matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1992, **7**:120-125.
- Shapiro SD, Senior RM: **Matrix metalloproteinases. Matrix degradation and more.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1999, **20**:1100-1102.
- Corbel M, Lagente V, Boichot E: **Pulmonary inflammation and tissue remodelling: role of metalloproteinases.** *Eur Respir Rev* 2000, **10**:260-263.
- Belvisi MG, Bottomley KM: **The role of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in the pathophysiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): a therapeutic role for inhibitors of MMPs?** *Inflamm Res* 2003, **52**:95-100.
- Belleguic C, Corbel M, Germain N, Lena H, Boichot E, Delaval PH, Lagente V: **Increased release of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in the plasma of acute severe asthmatic patients.** *Clin Exp Allergy* 2002, **32**:217-223.
- Mautino G, Oliver N, Chanez P, Bousquet J, Capony F: **Increased release of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and by alveolar macrophages of asthmatics.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1997, **17**:583-591.
- Segura-Valdez L, Pardo A, Gaxiola M, Uhal BD, Becerril C, Selman M: **Upregulation of gelatinases A and B, collagenases 1 and 2, and increased parenchymal cell death in COPD.** *Chest* 2000, **117**:684-694.
- Vignola AM, Riccobono L, Mirabella A, Profita M, Chanez P, Bellia V, Mautino G, D'Accardi P, Bousquet J, Bonsignore G: **Sputum metalloproteinase-9/tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 ratio correlates with airflow obstruction in asthma and chronic bronchitis.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **158**:1945-1950.
- Desvergne B, Wahli W: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism.** *Endocr Rev* 1999, **20**:649-688.
- Francis GA, Fayard E, Picard F, Auwerx J: **Nuclear receptors and the control of metabolism.** *Annu Rev Physiol* 2003, **65**:261-311. Epub 2002 May 1.
- Devchand PR, Keller H, Peters JM, Vazquez M, Gonzalez FJ, Wahli W: **The PPARalpha-leukotriene B4 pathway to inflammation control.** *Nature* 1996, **384**:39-43.
- Delerive P, De Bosscher K, Besnard S, Vanden Berghe W, Peters JM, Gonzalez FJ, Fruchart JC, Tedgui A, Haegeman G, Staels B: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha negatively regulates the vascular inflammatory gene response by negative cross-talk with transcription factors NF-kappaB and AP-1.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:32048-32054.
- Sheu MY, Fowler AJ, Kao J, Schmutz M, Schoonjans K, Auwerx J, Fluhr JW, Man MQ, Elias PM, Feingold KR: **Topical peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-alpha activators reduce inflammation in irritant and allergic contact dermatitis models.** *J Invest Dermatol* 2002, **118**:94-101.
- Deplanque D, Gele P, Petrait O, Six I, Furman C, Bouly M, Nion S, Dupuis B, Leys D, Fruchart JC, Cecchelli R, Staels B, Duriez P, Bordet R: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha activation as a mechanism of preventive neuroprotection induced by chronic fenofibrate treatment.** *J Neurosci* 2003, **23**:6264-6271.
- Shu H, Wong B, Zhou G, Li Y, Berger J, Woods JW, Wright SD, Cai TQ: **Activation of PPARalpha or gamma reduces secretion of matrix metalloproteinase 9 but not interleukin 8 from human monocytic THP-1 cells.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000, **267**:345-349.
- Eberhardt W, Akool el S, Rebhan J, Frank S, Beck KF, Franzen R, Hamada FM, Pfeilschifter J: **Inhibition of cytokine-induced matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha agonists is indirect and due to a NO-mediated reduction of mRNA stability.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:33518-33528.
- Lee SS, Pineau T, Drago J, Lee EJ, Owens JW, Kroetz DL, Fernandez-Salguero PM, Westphal H, Gonzalez FJ: **Targeted disruption of**

- the alpha isoform of the peroxisome proliferator- activated receptor gene in mice results in abolishment of the pleiotropic effects of peroxisome proliferators. *Mol Cell Biol* 1995, **15**:3012-3022.
27. Schoonjans K, Peinado-Onsurbe J, Lefebvre AM, Heyman RA, Briggs M, Deeb S, Staels B, Auwerx J: **PPARalpha and PPARgamma activators direct a distinct tissue-specific transcriptional response via a PPRE in the lipoprotein lipase gene.** *Embo J* 1996, **15**:5336-5348.
 28. Tabernero A, Schoonjans K, Jesel L, Carpusca I, Auwerx J, Andriantsohaina R: **Activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha protects against myocardial ischaemic injury and improves endothelial vasodilatation.** *BMC Pharmacol* 2002, **2**:10.
 29. Birrell MA, Patel HJ, McCluskie K, Wong S, Leonard T, Yacoub MH, Belvisi MG: **PPAR-gamma agonists as therapy for diseases involving airway neutrophilia.** *Eur Respir J* 2004, **24**:18-23.
 30. Trifilieff A, Bench A, Hanley M, Bayley D, Campbell E, Whittaker P: **PPAR-alpha and -gamma but not -delta agonists inhibit airway inflammation in a murine model of asthma: in vitro evidence for an NF- kappaB-independent effect.** *Br J Pharmacol* 2003, **139**:163-171.
 31. Brieland JK, Flory CM, Jones ML, Miller GR, Remick DG, Warren JS, Fantone JC: **Regulation of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 gene expression and secretion in rat pulmonary alveolar macrophages by lipopolysaccharide, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and interleukin-1 beta.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 1995, **12**:104-109.
 32. Standiford TJ, Kunkel SL, Phan SH, Rollins BJ, Strieter RM: **Alveolar macrophage-derived cytokines induce monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 expression from human pulmonary type II-like epithelial cells.** *J Biol Chem* 1991, **266**:9912-9918.
 33. Masure S, Proost P, Van Damme J, Opendakker G: **Purification and identification of 91-kDa neutrophil gelatinase. Release by the activating peptide interleukin-8.** *Eur J Biochem* 1991, **198**:391-398.
 34. Atkinson JJ, Senior RM: **Matrix metalloproteinase-9 in lung remodeling.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2003, **28**:12-24.
 35. Delerive P, Fruchart JC, Staels B: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in inflammation control.** *J Endocrinol* 2001, **169**:453-459.
 36. Maruyama S, Kato K, Kodama M, Hirono S, Fuse K, Nakagawa O, Nakazawa M, Miida T, Yamamoto T, Watanabe K, Aizawa Y: **Fenofibrate, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha activator, suppresses experimental autoimmune myocarditis by stimulating the interleukin-10 pathway in rats.** *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2002, **9**:87-92.
 37. Kintscher U, Goetze S, Wakino S, Kim S, Nagpal S, Chandraratna RA, Graf K, Fleck E, Hsueh WA, Law RE: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoid X receptor ligands inhibit monocyte chemotactic protein-1-directed migration of monocytes.** *Eur J Pharmacol* 2000, **401**:259-270.
 38. Woerly G, Honda K, Loyens M, Papin JP, Auwerx J, Staels B, Capron M, Dombrowicz D: **Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors alpha and gamma down-regulate allergic inflammation and eosinophil activation.** *J Exp Med* 2003, **198**:411-421.
 39. Chinetti G, Griglio S, Antonucci M, Torra IP, Delerive P, Majd Z, Fruchart JC, Chapman J, Najib J, Staels B: **Activation of proliferator-activated receptors alpha and gamma induces apoptosis of human monocyte-derived macrophages.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:25573-25580.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

