RESEARCH

Open Access

Rituximab for auto-immune alveolar proteinosis, a real life cohort study



Berenice Soyez^{1,2,3}, Raphael Borie^{1,2,17*}, Cedric Menard⁵, Jacques Cadranel^{6,2}, Leonidas Chavez⁷, Vincent Cottin^{8,2}, Emmanuel Gomez^{9,2}, Sylvain Marchand-Adam^{10,2}, Sylvie Leroy^{11,2}, Jean-Marc Naccache^{6,2}, Hilario Nunes^{12,2}, Martine Reynaud-Gaubert^{13,2}, Laurent Savale¹⁴, Abdellatif Tazi¹⁵, Lidwine Wemeau-Stervinou^{16,2}, Marie-Pierre Debray⁴ and Bruno Crestani^{1,2,17}

Abstract

Background: Whole lung lavage is the current standard therapy for pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) that is characterized by the alveolar accumulation of surfactant. Rituximab showed promising results in auto-immune PAP (aPAP) related to anti-GM-CSF antibody.

Methods: We aimed to assess efficacy of rituximab in aPAP in real life and all patients with aPAP in France that received rituximab were retrospectively analyzed.

Results: Thirteen patients were included. No patients showed improvement 6 months after treatment, but, 4 patients (30%) presented a significant decrease of alveolar-arterial difference in oxygen after 1 year. One patient received lung transplantation and one patient was lost of follow-up within one year. Although a spontaneous improvement cannot be excluded in these 4 patients, improvement was more frequent in patients naïve to prior specific therapy and with higher level of anti-GM-CSF antibodies evaluated by ELISA. No serious adverse event was evidenced.

Conclusions: These data do not support rituximab as a second line therapy for patients with refractory aPAP.

Keywords: Whole lung lavage, GM-CSF, Surfactant, Interstitial lung disease, Therapy

Background

Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare disease characterized by deposition of extracellular lipoproteinaceous material within pulmonary alveoli [1]. PAP usually results from failure of clearance of surfactant by alveolar macrophages [2–4]. The most common cause of PAP is autoimmune (in 90% of cases, aPAP) relevant to the presence of anti-GM-CSF (Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor) autoantibodies. GM-CSF, a growth factor for granulocytes and monocytes, stimulates the differentiation, the proliferation and the survival of myeloid cells [5]. Anti-GM-CSF antibodies bind with high affinity to GM-CSF thus blocking receptor binding and its specific activity [6–8]. Alveolar macrophages are then no longer able to clear alveolar

* Correspondence: Raphael.borie@aphp.fr

¹Service de Pneumologie A, DHU FIRE, centre de référence constitutif des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Bichat, APHP, 46 rue Henri Huchard 75877 Paris CEDEX, 18 Paris, France
²OrphaLung, Lyon, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

surfactant, and also have a poor local anti-infectious activity [9]. The pathogenicity of anti-GM-CSF antibodies has been proven by the development of PAP after administration of anti-GM-CSF antibodies to non human primates [10, 11].

Whole lung lavage is the current standard therapy for PAP and has been shown to improve the prognosis. Whole lung lavage is effective in almost 85% of patients [12]. However, whole lung lavage is associated with adverse effects such as infections, fever, convulsions, pneumothorax, pleural effusion, hypoxemia or even death. Moreover, 15% of patients do not improve and 10% of patients need repeated whole lung lavage [13–19]. Corticosteroids are not effective and may be associated with an increased risk of opportunistic infection [20]. Inhaled or sub-cutaneous GM-CSF is currently investigated as an alternative to therapeutic lung lavage (NCT02702180). Retrospective and prospective data suggest a persistent improvement in 48% to 100% of the cases [21–23]. Rituximab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the CD20 antigen, has been



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. shown to be effective in several autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis or granulomatosis with polyangiitis [24–26]. Rituximab has been reported to improve aPAP in 3 isolated cases and in 7/9 patients of a prospective series [27–30]. While, rituximab showed early promising results and appears to be an interesting alternative treatment, we aimed to evaluate its efficacy on aPAP in real life.

Methods

Study design

We retrospectively identified all French patients with aPAP who received rituximab between 2007 and 2014, from a mailing list to the French network of competence and reference centers for rare pulmonary diseases. In France, almost all of the patients with rare lung diseases, and particularly with aPAP, are referred to one of the competence/reference center.

All patients gave informed consent for data collection and the use of rituximab beyond marketing authorization. The Institutional Review Board of the French-learned society for respiratory medicine (Société de Pneumologie de Langue Française) approved this retrospective study (CEPRO 2012–016).

Inclusion criteria

The patients were included in the study if 1) they had evidence for PAP as assessed by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), transbronchial biopsy or open lung biopsy; and 2) an anti-GM-CSF antibody was detected; and 3) they had received at least one dose of rituximab.

Data collection

The clinical charts of the patients were reviewed and the following data were collected by use of a standardized and anonymous collection form: at the first rituximab infusion (day 0 or baseline), and at day 14, at months 3 (M3), M6, M9, M12. We collected clinical data, standard biological tests results, anti-GM-CSF antibodies titer, chest high-resolution computed tomography (CT), and results of lung function tests, and blood gases when available.

Anti-GM-CSF titer

GM-CSF neutralizing activity of serum was evaluated in a functional bioassay [4]. Briefly, the GM-CSFdependent TF-1 cell line was incubated with serial serum dilutions in the presence of 1 ng/mL recombinant GM-CSF (Cellgenix, Germany). After 48 h incubation, ³H-thymidine was added for further 8 h. Thymidine uptake by TF-1 cells was assessed by quantification of the radioactivity with a scintillation counter. The GM-CSF antibody titer was calculated as the inverse of the serum dilution blocking 50% of the maximum TF-1 proliferation.

In addition, in some patients, the serum GM-CSF antibody concentration was assessed by ELISA as previously described [4, 31, 32], with some modifications. Briefly, 96-wells Maxisorp[®] plates (Corning, USA) were coated overnight with 1 µg/mL GM-CSF in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) then washed with PBS-0.05% Tween and blocked with PBS-1% bovine serum albumin for 2 h. After 3 washing steps, diluted sera were added to the wells and incubated for 40 min at room temperature. Then wells were washed 5 times with PBS-0.1% Tween and bound GM-CSF antibodies were detected by antihuman IgG biotinylated antibody (BD Pharmingen, USA) combined to streptavidin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase. Chromogenic substrate solution (TMB Substrate Reagent, BD Pharmingen) was added. Optical absorbance was measured at 450 nm. Anti-GM-CSF concentration was calculated using a standard calibration curve obtained with human polyclonal intravenous immunoglobulins.

All assays were done in one single expert center. Functional assays were done at time of blood taking, while ELISA measurements were done for all samples at the same time.

Chest CT analysis

Chest CT obtained before (baseline), or 6 months and 12 months after rituximab infusion, were anonymously analyzed by an experienced thoracic radiologist (MPD), patient by patient, blinded to the time from treatment. The extent of CT reticulations and ground-glass opacities was scored between 6 and 30 by summing the grades of 6 pulmonary zones (adapted from [33]). The more extended the CT lesions, the higher the score.

Assessments

Improvement after rituximab therapy was defined by a decrease ≥ 10 mmHg of the alveolar–arterial gradient at rest (D(A-a)O₂) as compared to baseline. A deterioration was defined by an increase ≥ 10 mmHg of the D(A-a)O₂.

Other endpoints considered as significantly improved were: ≥ 10 mmHg increase of arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), $\geq 10\%$ increase in diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) or absolute value of vital capacity (VC), $\geq 50\%$ decrease in GM-CSF antibody level, ≥ 3 points decrease in CT-grade. The disease severity score (DSS) was assessed as follow: DSS 1: no symptoms and PaO2 \geq 70 mmHg, DSS 2: symptomatic and PaO2 \geq 70 mmHg, DSS 3: PaO2 \geq 60 mmHg and < 70 mmHg, DSS 4: PaO2 \geq 50 mmHg and < 60 mmHg and DSS 5: PaO2 < 50 mmHg [22].

Statistical analysis

Continuous data are presented as mean (minimum-maximum) and compared by the Mann-Whitney's test.

Results

Patients

Patients

Age (year)

We identified a total of 13 patients (9 men) from 11 centers, including one patient previously reported [28] (Table 1). The mean age at aPAP diagnosis was 46 years and the mean time since diagnosis was 28.5 months. Seven patients (54%) were smokers, including 4 active smokers, and 8 patients (62%) had been exposed to inhaled toxics.

Prior to rituximab, 10 patients (77%) were treated with whole lung lavage, with a mean of 2.8 lavages and a median of 2.0 lavages per patient. No patient received whole lung lavage within 3 months before inclusion. Five patients (38%) had received GM-CSF prior to rituximab, including one who was still treated by inhaled GM-CSF at first infusion of rituximab.

Rituximab therapy and efficacy

Twelve patients received two infusions of rituximab (1000 mg) (at day 0 and day 14) among whom three received a third infusion at month 6, 9 and 12 respectively. One patient received only one infusion of rituximab (1000 mg) and was lost to follow-up after month 3. One patient was treated with plasma exchange one month

2

56

3

50

4

30

5

53

6

41

7

49

8

44

Table 1 Patients characteristics at inclusion

44

Gender	М	М	Μ	F	М	F	М	F	F	Μ	М	М	М
Duration of PAP (months)	38	12	12	29	64	50	6	32	9	15	45	20	51
Smoking status	Never	Never	Current	Current	Never	Never	Never	Never	Ex	Current	Ex	Ex	Current
Inhaled toxic	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Previous therapy	No	WLL GM-CSF	WLL	WLL	WLL GM-CSF	WLL GM-CSF	No	WLL GM-CSF	WLL	WLL	WLL GM-CSF	WLL	No
Initial Improvement with WLL	Np	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Np	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Np
Aggravation in the 3 month before RTX	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Disease severity score	3	2	2	2	2	4	3	4	2	2	3	2	3
Anti GM-CSF titer before RTX	10,000	480	400	700	1000	200	400	400	40	300	300	800	4000
D(a-A)O2													
At RTX injection	36	36	40	38	31	50	46	57	37	35	47	35	40
At M12	20	32	60	51	30	Na	35	60	38	Na	15	26	27

PAP Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, M Male, F Female, WLL Whole Lung lavage, RTX Rituximab, Na Not available, Np not performed For patients without dosage at rituximab injection, the last available concentration of anti-GM-CSF is reported



after enrollment, and then received a lung transplant. Eleven patients were still followed from M3 (Table 2).

In the whole population, rituximab was not associated with significant improvement in any of the endpoints at M3, M6 or M12 (Table 2). However when assessed individually some patients did improve (Fig. 1).

At M6 after rituximab infusion, 3 patients deteriorated their lung function and required whole lung lavage or GM-CSF therapy. $D(A-a)O_2$, PaO2 or VC was not improved in any patient. DLCO improved in one patient and CT scan improved in two patients.

At M12 after rituximab infusion, a significant improvement of $D(A-a)O_2$ was observed in 4/11 patients (36%, none of them had received any additional therapy

10

41

11

51

12

49

13

45

9

59

Mean values	At Rituximab	After rituximab	After rituximab				
	injection	M3	M6	M12			
Number of patients	13	11	11	11			
D(A-a)O ₂ (mmHg)	40 (31–57)	43 (34–60)	40 (31–56)	35.9 (15–60)	0.19		
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	68 (57–82)	63 (55–70)	65 (52–78)	70 (49–92)	0.51		
Disease Severity Score	2.7 (2–4)	3.0 (2–4)	2.8 (2–4)	2.3 (1–5)	0.44		
VC (%predicted value)	79 (36–100)	78 (61–87)	79 (50–100)	84 (62–102)	0.81		
DLCO (% predicted value)	54 (19–92)	46 (30–86)	50 (29–79)	63 (35–97)	0.38		
Serum anti-GM-CSF							
ELISA (µg/mL)/Available	99 (18–220)/8	Na	85 (19–187)/7	7.7 (3.1–158)/6	0.19		
Functional (titer)/Available	853 (200–4000)/9	Na	831 (30-4000)/11	436 (0–1400)/8	0.21		
CT-grade	19.3 (12–28)	Na	19.3 (13–28)	17.3 (6–26)	0.73		

Table 2 Characteristics at inclusion and after rituximab

*between at rituximab injection and M12, VC Vital capacity, DLCO Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, CT Computed tomography, D(A-a)O₂ alveolar–arterial gradient at rest, na not available

after rituximab), while D(A-a)O₂ was stable in 5 patients (45%, including 2 patients who received specific aPAP therapies because of an early deterioration) and decreased in 2 patients (18%). In the improved group, the mean D(A-a)O₂ decreased from 42.4 mmHg before infusion to 24.3 mmHg at M12 and the mean PaO₂ get from 64.3 mmHg before infusion to 82.8 mmHg at M12 (Table 3). In parallel, at M12, VC increased in 1/10 patients (10%), DLCO in 5/10 patients (50%), and CT score decreased in 4/9 patients (44%). Three patients had both increased DLCO and D(A-a)O₂ and decreased CT score.

Evolution of anti-GM-CSF titers

Data were both available at rituximab injection and at M12 for 6 patients evaluated by the functional assay and 4 patients evaluated by ELISA.

Globally, the mean level of anti-GM-CSF antibodies was not significantly modified before and after rituximab, evaluated either by ELISA or by functional assay (Table 2). However, 4 patients (67%) showed a significant decrease (\geq 50%) of anti-GM-CSF antibody titers at M12 as evaluated by the functional assay, among which 2 showed an improvement of D(A-a)O₂, while 1 patient (25%) showed a significant decrease of anti-GM-CSF antibody titers as evaluated by ELISA, and this patient did not experience improvement of D(A-a)O₂.

Characteristics of patients who improved after rituximab

We assessed the characteristics of patients who improved defined by a decrease $\geq 10 \text{ mmHg}$ of the alveolar-arterial gradient at rest (D(A-a)O₂) at M12 as compared to baseline. The patients who improved were four men aged 45 to 51 years. Three were current or ex-

Table 3 Factors associated with therapeutic response in patients evaluated at M12

	Improved $(n = 4)$	Non-improved $(n = 7)$	<i>p</i> -value
Age	47 (41–51)	48 (30–59)	0.70
Gender male	4 (100%)	4 (57%)	0.24
Toxics inhalation or significant smoking	3 (75%)	5 (71%)	1.0
Prior treatments to rituximab	1 (25%)	7 (100%)	0.02
Severity			
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	64.3 (63–66)	70.7 (57–82)	0.07
D(A-a)O ₂ (mmHg)	42.4 (36.7–46)	39.4 (31.7–57.7)	0.32
VC (%)	94.3 (85–105)	83.0 (54–95)	0.92
DLCO (%)	49.0 (19–64)	56.9 (38–92)	0.30
CT-grade	17.8 (12–23)	20.1 (14–28)	0.50
Serum anti-GM-CSF			
ELISA (µg/mL)	169.3 (78–220)	58.2 (18–104)	0.03
Functional (titer)	1566.7 (300–4000)	496.7 (200–800)	1.0

VC Vital capacity, DLCO Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, CT Computed tomography, D(A-a) O2 alveolar-arterial gradient at rest

smokers and one of them was exposed to wool dusts and bird feathers. There was no significant difference between improved and non-improved patients on age, gender, smoking history or toxic inhalation, and disease severity at rituximab initiation. Patients who improved had higher serum titers of anti-GM-CSF antibodies evaluated by ELISA, received less specific aPAP therapies prior to rituximab and tended to have lower PaO_2 at inclusion (Table 3). None of the patients who improved received adjuvant PAP therapy in the 12 months following rituximab infusion.

Adverse events

No serious adverse events related to rituximab were identified. A cutaneous rash or pruritus occurred after the first rituximab administration in 3 patients, and spontaneously resolved. One patient developed deep-vein thrombosis and one patient complained of sleep and concentration troubles. During follow-up, 2 patients developed viral upper respiratory tract infection and one patient was given a diagnosis of *Mycobacterium avium* airway colonization. No opportunistic infection was observed.

Discussion

This series is the largest series evaluating rituximab in aPAP. Rituximab was well tolerated and adverse effects were rare and minor. Rituximab was associated with an objective improvement in only 4 of the 13 patients treated (30%) after 12 months. Interestingly, improvement only occurred after 6 months of treatment and was more frequent in patients naive of specific aPAP therapy and with higher level of GM-CSF auto-antibodies evaluated by ELISA.

One prospective clinical trial by Kavuru et al., which included 9 aPAP patients, reported a 78% objective response at M12 [29]. In the current series, the absence of a significant improvement after rituximab in the whole population and the 30% objective response were disappointing. Numerous hypotheses may explain this discrepancy between both series. Firstly, the patients included in the current series were less hypoxemic (mean initial PaO₂: 68 mmHg) than those studied by Kavuru et al. (PaO₂: 54 mmHg) [29]. Interestingly, we observed that patients who improved had lower initial PaO_2 than patients who did not improve (p = 0.07). Secondly, in the current series, patients who already received a specific therapy for aPAP were less prone to respond, although patients from the Kavuru et al. trial had received a mean of 5 whole lung lavage before inclusion [29]. Some of the patients from the present series may present irreversible interstitial lung disease or pulmonary fibrosis, although we were not able to identify them by CT scan [34].

Our study showed that the improvement of the D(A-a) O_2 was delayed after rituximab infusion, as it was only evidenced at M12 while it was absent at M6. Again, our results are discordant with Kavuru et al. who showed an improvement of D(A-a)O₂, PaO₂, pulmonary function tests and CT-scan from M6 [29]. Such a delayed efficacy of rituximab is not reported in other autoimmune diseases such as autoimmune hemolytic anemia or ANCA associated vasculitis. This delayed effect could be due to 1) the time needed to restore a normal alveolar macrophagic activity, that may be delayed from circulating monocyte activity and 2) to the time needed to evidence a functional effect of an improved alveolar surfactant clearance [35].

We cannot exclude that rituximab was not responsible for the improvement observed in 4 patients at M12, since spontaneous improvement has been reported in up to 30% of cases in the literature. Spontaneous improvement is mostly observed in patients with $PaO_2 >$ 70 mmHg, $D(A-a)O_2 < 40$ mmHg and with higher DLCO [13, 17, 19, 36]. In the current series, mean initial PaO_2 in the responder group was 64 mmHg, $D(A-a)O_2$ was 42 mmHg, and DLCO was 49%.

This study has obvious limits such as its uncontrolled and retrospective nature and the relatively small number of patients studied. However, all French patients that received rituximab for aPAP were included in this study and therefore the 30% in intention to treat rate of response is a real life evaluation of rituximab efficacy in this very rare disease.

Conclusions

This retrospective study does not support rituximab as a second line therapy for patients with refractory aPAP. Since whole lung lavage and inhaled GM-CSF are already very effective for this rare disease, the evaluation of rituximab as a first line therapy is unlikely due to its unconfirmed slowly developing effect.

Funding

This work was supported by a grant from La Fondation du souffle. The funding institution had no role in (1) conception, design, or conduct of the study, (2) collection, management, analysis, interpretation, or presentation of the data, or (3) preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data are available on request.

Authors' contributions

RB and BC study concept and design. DBS, RB, CM, JC, LC, VC, EG, SMA, CHM, JMN, HN, MRG, LS, AT, LWS, MPD and BC acquisition of the data. BS, RB, CM and BC data analysis and interpretation. BS, RB, CM and BC manuscript preparation and drafting. BS and RB statistical methods, statistical data analysis. All authors made manuscript critique, review and approved the manuscript submitted. BS, RB and BC take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Institutional Review Board of the French-learned society for respiratory medicine (Société de Pneumologie de Langue Française) approved this retrospective study (CEPRO 2012–016).

Consent for publication

All patients consent to publication.

Competing interests

RB reports personal fees and grants from Savarapharma, Roche and Boerhinger Ingelheim. JC reports grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Roche, grants and personal fees from Astra Zeneca, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from BMS, outside the submitted work;. VC reports personal fees from Actelion, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Biogen Idec, personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from GSK, personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Sanofi, grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from Roche, personal fees from Promedior, personal fees from Celgene, personal fees from Galapagos, outside the submitted work. EG reports non financial support from Actélion, Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim. LS reports grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Actelion, grants, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Bayer, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from GSK, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from MSD, outside the submitted work; MPD reports personal fees from Roche and Boehringer-Ingelheim, non financial support from Astrazeneca, General Electric and Guerbet. BC reports grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Sanofi, personal fees from Apellis, personal fees from Astra-Zeneca, grants from MedImmune, outside the submitted work.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Service de Pneumologie A, DHU FIRE, centre de référence constitutif des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Bichat, APHP, 46 rue Henri Huchard 75877 Paris CEDEX, 18 Paris, France. ²OrphaLung, Lyon, France. ³Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital de la Pitié Salpetrière, APHP, Paris, France. ⁴Service de Radiologie, Hôpital Bichat, APHP, Paris, France. ⁵Service d'Immunologie, Thérapie Cellulaire et Hématopoïèse, CHU Pontchaillou, Rennes, France. ⁶Service de Pneumologie, Centre de référence constitutif des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Tenon, APHP, Paris, France. ⁷Service de Pneumologie, Centre de compétences des maladies pulmonaires rares, CHU Grenoble-Alpes, Grenoble, France. ⁸Service de Pneumologie, Centre national de référence des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Louis Pradel, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France. ⁹Service de Pneumologie, Centre de compétences des maladies pulmonaires rares CHRU Nancy, Nancy, France. ¹⁰Service de Pneumologie, Centre de compétences des maladies pulmonaires raresCHRU de Tours, Tours, France.¹¹FHU Oncoage, Service de Pneumologie, Centre de compétence des maladies pulmonaires rares, Université Côte d'Azur, CHU de Nice, Nice, France. ¹²Service de Pneumologie, Centre de référence constitutif des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Avicenne, APHP, Bobigny, France. ¹³Service de Pneumologie, Centre de compétence des maladies pulmonaires rares, Hôpital Nord, Marseille, France. ¹⁴Service de Pneumologie, Centre de référence de l'hypertension pulmonaire, Hôpital Bicêtre, APHP, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France. ¹⁵Service de Pneumologie, Hôpital Saint-Louis, APHP, Paris, France. ¹⁶Service de Pneumologie, Centre de référence constitutif des maladies pulmonaires rares, CHRU de Lille, Lille, France. ¹⁷INSERM, Unité 1152, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France.

Received: 7 February 2018 Accepted: 16 April 2018 Published online: 25 April 2018

References

 Rosen SH, Castleman B, Liebow AA. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. N Engl J Med. 1958;258:1123–42.

- Trapnell BC, Whitsett JA, Nakata K. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:2527–39.
- Gurel O, Ikegami M, Chroneos ZC, Jobe AH. Macrophage and type II cell catabolism of SP-A and saturated phosphatidylcholine in mouse lungs. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2001;280:L1266–72.
- Piccoli L, Campo I, Fregni CS, Rodriguez BM, Minola A, Sallusto F, Luisetti M, Corti D, Lanzavecchia A. Neutralization and clearance of GM-CSF by autoantibodies in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Nat Commun. 2015;6: 7375.
- Bradley TR, Metcalf D. The growth of mouse bone marrow cells in vitro. Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci. 1966;44:287–99.
- Kitamura T, Tanaka N, Watanabe J, Uchida, Kanegasaki S, Yamada Y, Nakata K. Idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis as an autoimmune disease with neutralizing antibody against granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. J Exp Med. 1999;190:875–80.
- Kitamura T, Uchida K, Tanaka N, Tsuchiya T, Watanabe J, Yamada Y, Hanaoka K, Seymour JF, Schoch OD, Doyle I, et al. Serological diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;162:658–62.
- Uchida K, Nakata K, Trapnell BC, Terakawa T, Hamano E, Mikami A, Matsushita I, Seymour JF, Oh-Eda M, Ishige I, et al. High-affinity autoantibodies specifically eliminate granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor activity in the lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Blood. 2004;103:1089–98.
- Greenhill SR, Kotton DN. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a bench-to-bedside story of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor dysfunction. Chest. 2009;136:571–7.
- Sakagami T, Beck D, Uchida K, Suzuki T, Carey BC, Nakata K, Keller G, Wood RE, Wert SE, Ikegami M, et al. Patient-derived granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor autoantibodies reproduce pulmonary alveolar proteinosis in nonhuman primates. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;182:49– 61.
- Stanley E, Lieschke GJ, Grail D, Metcalf D, Hodgson G, Gall JA, Maher DW, Cebon J, Sinickas V, Dunn AR. Granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor-deficient mice show no major perturbation of hematopoiesis but develop a characteristic pulmonary pathology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91:5592–6.
- Seymour JF, Presneill JJ. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: progress in the first 44 years. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;166:215–35.
- Briens E, Delaval P, Mairesse MP, Valeyre D, Wallaert B, Lazor R, Cordier JF. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Rev Mal Respir. 2002;19:166–82.
- Shah PL, Hansell D, Lawson PR, Reid KB, Morgan C. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: clinical aspects and current concepts on pathogenesis. Thorax. 2000;55:67–77.
- Rogers RM, Levin DC, Gray BA, Moseley LW Jr. Physiologic effects of bronchopulmonary lavage in alveolar proteinosis. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1978; 118:255–64.
- Prakash UB, Barham SS, Carpenter HA, Dines DE, Marsh HM. Pulmonary alveolar phospholipoproteinosis: experience with 34 cases and a review. Mayo Clin Proc. 1987;62:499–518.
- Selecky PA, Wasserman K, Benfield JR, Lippmann M. The clinical and physiological effect of whole-lung lavage in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a ten-year experience. Ann Thorac Surg. 1977;24:451–61.
- Campo I, Luisetti M, Griese M, Trapnell BC, Bonella F, Grutters J, Nakata K, Van Moorsel CH, Costabel U, Cottin V, et al. Whole lung lavage therapy for pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a global survey of current practices and procedures. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2016;11:115.
- 19. Kariman K, Kylstra JA, Spock A. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: prospective clinical experience in 23 patients for 15 years. Lung. 1984;162:223–31.
- Akasaka K, Tanaka T, Kitamura N, Ohkouchi S, Tazawa R, Takada T, Ichiwata T, Yamaguchi E, Hirose M, Arai T, et al. Outcome of corticosteroid administration in autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Pulm Med. 2015;15:88.
- Khan A, Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN. Effectiveness of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor therapy in autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Chest. 2012;141:1273–83.
- Tazawa R, Tazawa R, Trapnell BC, Inoue Y, Arai T, Takada T, Nasuhara Y, Hizawa N, Kasahara Y, Tatsumi K, et al. Inhaled granulocyte/macrophagecolony stimulating factor as therapy for pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2010;181:1345–54.
- 23. Papiris SA, Tsirigotis P, Kolilekas L, Papadaki G, Papaioannou Al, Triantafillidou C, Papaporfyriou A, Karakatsani A, Kagouridis K, Griese M, et al.

Long-term inhaled granulocyte macrophage-colony-stimulating factor in autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis: effectiveness, safety, and lowest effective dose. Clin Drug Investig. 2014;34:553–64.

- Lopez-Olivo MA, Amezaga Urruela M, McGahan L, Pollono EN, Suarez-Almazor ME. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;1:CD007356.
- Pagnoux C, Guillevin L, French Vasculitis Study G, investigators M. Rituximab or azathioprine maintenance in ANCA-associated vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:386–7.
- Stone JH, Merkel PA, Spiera R, Seo P, Langford CA, Hoffman GS, Kallenberg CG, St Clair EW, Turkiewicz A, Tchao NK, et al. Rituximab versus cyclophosphamide for ANCA-associated vasculitis. N Engl J Med. 2010;363: 221–32.
- Amital A, Dux S, Shitrit D, Shpilberg O, Kramer MR. Therapeutic effectiveness of rituximab in a patient with unresponsive autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Thorax. 2010;65:1025–6.
- Borie R, Debray MP, Laine C, Aubier M, Crestani B. Rituximab therapy in autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Eur Respir J. 2009;33:1503–6.
- Kavuru MS, Malur A, Marshall I, Barna BP, Meziane M, Huizar I, Dalrymple H, Karnekar R, Thomassen MJ. An open-label trial of rituximab therapy in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. Eur Respir J. 2011;38:1361–7.
- Nagasawa J, Kurasawa K, Hanaoka R. Rituximab improved systemic lupus erythematosus-associated pulmonary alveolar proteinosis without decreasing anti-GM-CSF antibody levels. Lupus. 2016;25:783–4.
- Uchida K, Nakata K, Carey B, Chalk C, Suzuki T, Sakagami T, Koch DE, Stevens C, Inoue Y, Yamada Y, Trapnell BC. Standardized serum GM-CSF autoantibody testing for the routine clinical diagnosis of autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. J Immunol Methods. 2014;402:57–70.
- Seymour JF, Doyle IR, Nakata K, Presneill JJ, Schoch OD, Hamano E, Uchida K, Fisher R, Dunn AR. Relationship of anti-GM-CSF antibody concentration, surfactant protein a and B levels, and serum LDH to pulmonary parameters and response to GM-CSF therapy in patients with idiopathic alveolar proteinosis. Thorax. 2003;58:252–7.
- Debray MP, Borie R, Revel MP, Naccache JM, Khalil A, Toper C, Israel-Biet D, Estellat C, Brillet PY. Interstitial lung disease in anti-synthetase syndrome: initial and follow-up CT findings. Eur J Radiol. 2015;84:516–23.
- Akira M, Inoue Y, Arai T, Sugimoto C, Tokura S, Nakata K, Kitaichi M, Osaka Respiratory Diseases Symposia G. Pulmonary fibrosis on high-resolution CT of patients with pulmonary alveolar Proteinosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2016; 207:544–51.
- Eguiluz-Gracia I, Schultz HH, Sikkeland LI, Danilova E, Holm AM, Pronk CJ, Agace WW, Iversen M, Andersen C, Jahnsen FL, Baekkevold ES. Long-term persistence of human donor alveolar macrophages in lung transplant recipients. Thorax. 2016;71:1006–11.
- Inoue Y, Trapnell BC, Tazawa R, Arai T, Takada T, Hizawa N, Kasahara Y, Tatsumi K, Hojo M, Ichiwata T, et al. Characteristics of a large cohort of patients with autoimmune pulmonary alveolar proteinosis in Japan. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;177:752–62.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

