Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of exhaled leukotriene B\textsubscript{4} in asthmatic children
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Abstract

**Background:** The role of leukotriene (LT) B\textsubscript{4}, a potent inflammatory mediator, in atopic asthmatic and atopic nonasthmatic children is largely unknown. The lack of a gold standard technique for measuring LTB\textsubscript{4} in exhaled breath condensate (EBC) has hampered its quantitative assessment in this biological fluid. We sought to measure LTB\textsubscript{4} in EBC in atopic asthmatic children and atopic nonasthmatic children. Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) was measured as an independent marker of airway inflammation.

**Methods:** Fifteen healthy children, 20 atopic nonasthmatic children, 25 steroid-naïve atopic asthmatic children, and 22 atopic asthmatic children receiving inhaled corticosteroids were studied. The study design was of cross-sectional type. Exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} concentrations were measured using liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry-mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Exhaled NO was measured by chemiluminescence with a single breath on-line method. LTB\textsubscript{4} values were expressed as the total amount (in pg) of eicosanoid expired in the 15-minute breath test. Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare groups.

**Results:** Compared with healthy children [87.5 (82.5–102.5) pg, median and interquartile range], exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} was increased in steroid-naïve atopic asthmatic [255.1 (175.0–314.7) pg, p < 0.001], but not in atopic nonasthmatic children [96.5 (87.3–102.5) pg, p = 0.59]. Asthmatic children who were receiving inhaled corticosteroids had lower concentrations of exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} than steroid-naïve asthmatics [125.0 (25.0–245.0) pg vs 255.1 (175.0–314.7) pg, p < 0.01, respectively]. Exhaled NO was higher in atopic nonasthmatic children [37.0 (31.7–57.6) ppb, p < 0.001] than in healthy children [8.3 (6.1–9.9) ppb]. Compared with steroid-naïve asthmatic children, exhaled NO levels were reduced in asthmatic children who were receiving inhaled corticosteroids [15.9 (11.5–31.7) ppb, p < 0.01].

**Conclusion:** In contrast to exhaled NO concentrations, exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} values are selectively elevated in steroid-naïve atopic asthmatic children, but not in atopic nonasthmatic children. Although placebo control studies are warranted, inhaled corticosteroids seem to reduce exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} in asthmatic children. LC/MS/MS analysis of exhaled LTB\textsubscript{4} might provide a non-invasive, sensitive, and quantitative method for airway inflammation assessment in asthmatic children.
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Background
Chronic airway inflammation, the primary pathophysiological feature of asthma, underlies asthma symptoms and might have a role in airway and lung remodelling [1]. Elevated airway inflammation often precedes the onset of symptoms or airway limitation [1]. Quantification of airway inflammation is difficult as it requires invasive techniques or measurement of biomarkers in plasma or urine which reflect systemic rather than lung inflammation. Bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage remains the gold standard to assess airway inflammation, but invasiveness makes it unethical as a routine method particularly in children [2]. Sputum induction is less invasive [3], although this technique is particularly difficult to apply in young children and itself induces airway inflammation [4].

This has led to a search for non-invasive ways to measure airway and lung inflammation in order to aid diagnosis, to assess response to anti-inflammatory treatments, to predict loss of disease control and to assess the response to novel treatments [5]. There is increasing evidence that measurement of biomarkers in the breath may reflect pulmonary disease [6]. Direct sampling form the lung has major advantages compared with sampling form the blood or urine, when dilution and metabolism of inflammatory markers arising in the lungs makes interpretation very difficult. Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) measurement is currently the only completely noninvasive method for quantifying airway inflammation in patients with asthma who are not treated with corticosteroids [2, 7]. However, as several inflammatory mediators are involved in asthma, identification of other exhaled markers might contribute to a better understanding of its complex pathophysiology. Exhaled breath condensate (EBC) is a completely noninvasive method for collecting airway secretions [8, 9]. Cysteynyl-leukotrienes play an important role in the pathophysiology of asthma and their regulation is relevant for the management of this disease [10]. However, the role of leukotriene (LT) $\text{B}_4$, a potent chemotactrant for neutrophils, in asthma is largely unknown. Using immunoassays, LT$\text{B}_4$ has been detected in EBC in healthy subjects and found increased in asthmatic adults [11, 12] and children [13, 14]. However, the presence of LT$\text{B}_4$ in EBC has not been confirmed by more specific analytical techniques. Moreover, a large variation in exhaled LT$\text{B}_4$ concentrations in patients with similar clinical and functional features has been reported in different studies [13-15]. This may be partially explained by the lack of validation of commercially available immunoassay kits which were used to measure exhaled LT$\text{B}_4$ in these studies [16]. Mass spectrometry, the reference analytical technique, is required for an accurate quantitative assessment of exhaled LT$\text{B}_4$. In the present study, we aimed to measure LT$\text{B}_4$ concentrations in EBC with liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry-mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) using deuterated ($d_4$) LT$\text{B}_4$ as internal standard in healthy children, atopic nonasthmatic children, steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children, and atopic asthmatic children who were treated with inhaled corticosteroids. We also measured exhaled NO as an independent marker of airway inflammation.

Methods
Study subjects
Four groups of children were studied: 15 healthy children, 20 atopic nonasthmatic children, 25 steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children, and 22 atopic asthmatic children who were receiving inhaled corticosteroids (Table 1). Atopic nonasthmatic and atopic asthmatic children were recruited from the Asthma and Allergy outpatient clinic, Istituto Dermopatico dell’Immacolata, Rome, Italy. The diagnosis and classification of asthma was based on clinical history and examination and pulmonary function parameters according to the Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma issued by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health [17]. Steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children had mild intermittent asthma with symptoms less often than twice a week, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) of 80% or greater of predicted value and reversibility of 12% or greater to salbutamol, or positive provocation test result with methacholine or exercise. They were not taking any regular medication but used inhaled short-acting $\beta_2$-agonists as needed for symptom relief. They were excluded from the study if they had used inhaled corticosteroids or LT receptor antagonists in the previous 4 weeks. Atopy was confirmed by skin prick testing. Steroid-treated atopic asthmatic children had persistent mild-to-moderate asthma and were receiving maintenance therapy with either 100 μg/day (10 children) or 200 μg/day (12 children) of inhaled fluticasone propionate administered by means of diskus (GSK, Uxbridge, United Kingdom) at a constant dose for at least 8 weeks. They had not been taking LT receptor antagonists for at least one month. Atopy was confirmed by skin prick tests.

Atopic nonasthmatic children had a clinical history of atopy and positive skin test results. All had allergic rhinitis and were either sensitized to perennial allergens or were recently exposed to a relevant allergen.

Healthy control children had no history of asthma and atopic disease and negative skin prick test results. They were recruited from children of staff.

Study group children had no upper respiratory tract infections in the previous 3 weeks. Children were excluded from the study if they had used oral corticosteroids in the
previous 4 weeks or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the last 2 weeks.

**Study design**

The study was of cross-sectional design. Children attended the Department of Pharmacology, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy, for clinical examination, EBC collection, spirometry and skin prick testing. Informed consent was obtained by parents and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy.

Day-to-day repeatability for LTB$_4$ measurements was assessed in 20 children with asthma in a randomized design by collecting three EBC samples within 7 days of the first.

**Pulmonary function**

Spirometry was performed by means of a 10 L bell spirometer (Biomedin, Padova, Italy) and the best of three maneuvers, expressed as a percentage of predicted values, was chosen.

**Exhaled breath condensate collection**

Exhaled breath condensate was collected using a condensing chamber (Ecoscreen, Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) as described previously [11]. Briefly, exhaled air entered and left the chamber through one-way valves at the inlet and outlet, thus keeping the chamber closed. Children were instructed to breathe tidally through a mouthpiece connected to the condenser for 15 min. An average of 1.5 ml EBC per child was collected and stored at -80°C. LTB$_4$ measurements were performed within 2 weeks from sample collection. α-Amylase concentrations in all EBC samples were measured by an in vitro colorimetric method to check for possible salivary contamination (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

**Measurement of exhaled LT(B)$_4$**

Exhaled LT$_4$ was measured with a Finnigan Surveyor®LC System pump coupled with a TSQ Quantum Ultra™ triple quadrupole mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization with negative ion polarity mode with electrospray source (Thermo Electron Corporation, San José, USA). The LC/MS/MS experimental conditions were similar to those described previously [18]. However, the use of a Finnigan Surveyor®LC System pump coupled with a TSQ Quantum Ultra™ triple quadrupole mass spectrometer made it possible to increase 10 fold the analytical sensitivity (10 pg/ml vs 100 pg/ml). The lower limit of quantification (LOQ) was 50 pg/ml. The optimized source parameters were as follows: spray voltage, 4500 V; sheath gas pressure, 40 arbitrary units; aux gas pressure, 10 arbitrary units; capillary temperature, 300°C; capillary offset, 30 V; tube lens offset, 110 V. Chromatography was performed on a BetaBasic C18 column (15 cm × 2, 1 mm internal diameter, Thermo Hypersil-Keyston, Bellefonte, PA, USA) using a linear gradient with acetonitrile-water-acetic acid (30:70:0.05, v/v, at pH 5–6) which was changed to 100% acetonitrile over 4 minutes. Flow rate was 0.25 ml/min. LTB$_4$-d$_4$ (Cayman Chemicals Co., Ann Arbor, MI) was used as internal standard and was added to each sample to reach a final concentration of 100 pg/ml. The peak area ratios for LT$_4$/LTB$_4$-d$_4$ were plotted versus LT$_4$ concentrations. The calibration curve in the range 10–500 pg/ml had a good linearity with $r^2 = 0.9965$. 10 µl of sample were directly injected into the liquid chromatograph without any pretreatment.

All solvents were high performance liquid chromatography grade obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); acetic acid extra pure was purchased by Riedel-de Haen, Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany. LT$_4$ and LTB$_4$-d$_4$ were purchased from Cayman Chemicals Co (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and stored at -20°C until use. The working solutions were prepared daily using mobile phase as solvent.

### Table 1: Subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy children</th>
<th>Atopic nonasthmatic children</th>
<th>Steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children</th>
<th>Steroid-treated atopic asthmatic children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, F/M</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>10/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$, % pred</td>
<td>101.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>100.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>96.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>97.5 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, % pred</td>
<td>102.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>103.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>100.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>101.5 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/FVC, %</td>
<td>99.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>97.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>87.1 ± 2.3*</td>
<td>85.8 ± 2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF$_{25–75%}$</td>
<td>104.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>101.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>68.2 ± 3.9**</td>
<td>83.7 ± 4.5*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^\dagger$ Data are expressed as numbers or mean ± SEM.

* P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01 compared with healthy children. Steroid-treated asthmatic children were receiving either 100 µg/day or 200 µg/day of inhaled fluticasone at a constant dose for at least 8 weeks.
LTB4 values in EBC were expressed as total amount (in picograms) of leukotriene expired in the 15-minute breath test (LT concentrations × volume of EBC). Concentration of LTB4 in undetectable samples was considered 25 pg/ml corresponding to 50% of the lower limit of quantification (50 pg/ml).

**Exhaled nitric oxide measurement**

Exhaled NO was measured with the NIOX system (Aerocrine, Stockholm, Sweden) with a single breath on-line method according to American Thoracic Society guidelines [7]. Children inhaled NO-free air and exhaled through a dynamic flow restrictor. Children were asked to exhale to residual volume, insert the mouthpiece, inhale to total lung capacity through a NO filter, and then to exhale into the device through the same mouthpiece for 10 seconds at constant flow of 50 ml/sec with the aid of a visual feedback on a computer screen. A negative pressure tracing on the screen was used to confirm that children were inhaling NO-free air. Exhalations were repeated after 1-minute relaxation period until the performance of three exhaled NO values varied less than 10%. Exhaled NO measurements were obtained before spirometry.

**Statistical analysis**

Linear regression analysis was used to assess the relationship between LC peak area ratios for LTB4/LTB4-d4 and LTB4 concentrations. Exhaled LTB4 and NO values were expressed as medians throughout with interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles) shown in parentheses. Kruskal-Wallis test followed by pairwise Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare groups. Correlations between variables were evaluated by Spearman test. Significance was defined as a value of p < 0.05.

**Results**

No α-amylase concentrations (<22 mU/ml) were detected in any study sample, excluding significant salivary contamination.

**LTB4 in EBC**

The mass spectra of LTB4 and LTB4-d4 revealed a base peak at m/z 335 for endogenous LTB4 and m/z 339 for internal standard LTB4-d4, corresponding to molecular ions. To increase specificity, LTB4 was detected in MS/MS mode. Parent ions m/z 335 and m/z 339 were fragmented with a collision energy of 17% and 19%, respectively. The transition 335 → 195 m/z and 339 → 197 m/z for internal standard was monitored (Figure 1). Exhaled LTB4 concentrations were detected in all steroid-naive atopic asthmatics, atopic nonasthmatics, and healthy children and were undetectable in 7 steroid-treated atopic asthmatic children (Figure 2). Compared with healthy children [87.5 (82.5–102.5) pg], exhaled LTB4 was increased in steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children [255.1 (175.0–314.7) pg, p < 0.001], but not in atopic nonasthmatic children [96.5 (87.3–102.5) pg, p = 0.59] (Figure 2). Steroid-naive children with atopc asthma had higher exhaled LTB4 concentrations than atopic nonasthmatic children (p < 0.001) and healthy children (p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Asthmatic children who were receiving inhaled corticosteroids had lower concentrations of exhaled LTB4 than steroid-naive asthmatics [125.0 (25.0–245.0) pg vs 255.1 (175.0–314.7) pg, p < 0.01, respectively] and similar to those in atopic nonasthmatic children (p = 0.41) and healthy controls (p = 0.43) (Figure 2). In steroid-treated atopic asthmatic children, subgroup analysis shows that children who were receiving 100 μg/day of inhaled fluticasone at a constant dose for at least 8 weeks had higher exhaled LTB4 values than those who were receiving with 200 μg/day of inhaled fluticasone at a constant dose for at least 8 weeks [245.0 (235.0–282.5) pg vs 25.0 (25.0–102.5) pg, p < 0.002, respectively] (Figure 3). In children receiving 200 μg/day of fluticasone, median exhaled LTB4 values were similar to those in atopic nonasthmatic children (p = 0.15) and healthy controls (p = 0.10). In contrast, exhaled LTB4 was elevated in asthmatic children who were receiving 100 μg/day of inhaled fluticasone (atopic nonasthmatic children: p < 0.005; healthy children: p < 0.001).

Seven asthmatic children who were treated with the higher dose of fluticasone had undetectable levels of exhaled LTB4 (Figure 3). MS/MS spectra of steroid-naive asthmatic children (Figure 1A), healthy children (Figure 1C), and atopic nonasthmatic children (not shown) showed a major unknown peak in all samples. This peak is not always present in steroid-treated atopic asthmatic children (not shown) and deserves further characterization. There was no correlation between exhaled LTB4 and exhaled NO in any study group. There was no correlation between exhaled LTB4 or exhaled NO and age, sex, or lung function in any study group. The intraclass correlation coefficient for LTB4 was 0.89.

**Exhaled NO**

Exhaled NO was higher in atopic nonasthmatic children [16.2 (13.5–22.4) ppb, p < 0.05] and, to a greater extent, in steroid-naive atopic asthmatic children [37.0 (31.7–57.6) ppb, p < 0.001] than in healthy children [8.3 (6.1–9.9) ppb] (Figure 4). Compared with steroid-naive asthmatic children, exhaled NO levels were reduced in asthmatic children who were receiving inhaled corticosteroids [15.9 (11.5–31.7) ppb, p < 0.01] (Figure 4). In these children, exhaled NO concentrations were higher than those in healthy controls (p < 0.01), but not than those in atopic nonasthmatic children (p = 0.98) (Figure 4). There was no difference in exhaled NO levels between asthmatic children receiving 200 μg/day of inhaled fluticasone and...
children receiving a daily dose of 100 µg [14.1 (11.3–22.9) ppb vs 19.8 (13.5–44.5) ppb, p = 0.27, respectively] (Figure 5). Both subgroups of steroid-treated asthmatic children had higher exhaled NO levels than healthy children (children treated with fluticasone at a dose of 100 µg/day: p < 0.001; children treated with fluticasone at a dose of 200 µg/day: p < 0.001) but not than atopic non-asthmatic children (children treated with fluticasone at dose of 100 µg/day: p = 0.42; children treated with fluticasone at a dose of 200 µg/day: p = 0.46).

**Discussion**

EBC is a completely non-invasive method for sampling secretions from the airways which is suitable for repeated measures of lung inflammation even in young children [19]. Using LTB₄-d₄ as internal standard, we have definitively demonstrated that LTB₄ is present in the EBC, providing an accurate quantitative assessment of its concentrations in this biological fluid. In our study, absolute LTB₄ values in EBC are significantly higher than those previously reported in studies using enzyme immunoassays [14,15], but similar to those found in studies in which exhaled LTB₄ was measured by MS analysis [18,20].

The reasons for this discrepancy are unknown but could be partially related to matrix effects as differences in protein composition of the immunoassay buffer used for LTB₄ standard dilution versus EBC samples might influence LTB₄ measurement. We avoided possible matrix

---

**Figure 1**

Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry-mass spectrometry chromatograms of exhaled breath condensate (EBC). Samples from an asthmatic child (A and B) and a healthy child (C and D) are shown. The ions m/z 195 (A and C) and m/z 197 (B and D) were used to monitor endogenous leukotriene (LT) B₄ and LTB₄-d₄ (internal standard), respectively. LTB₄ concentrations in EBC, calculated using peak area ratios for LTB₄/LTB₄-d₄, were 87 pg/15 min (healthy child) and 450 pg/15 min (asthmatic child).
Exhaled LTB₄ at a constant dose for at least 8 weeks. LTB₄ squares) or 200 µg/day (filled squares) of inhaled fluticasone (n = 10) (open triangles), and steroid-treated (ST) children with atopic asthma (filled triangles). LTB₄ values are expressed as picograms produced during 15 minutes of breathing. Median values are shown with horizontal bars.

**Figure 2**
**Leukotriene (LT) B₄ concentrations in exhaled breath condensate in children with allergic disease.** Exhaled LTB₄ concentrations in healthy children (open squares), atopic non-asthmatic children (NA) (filled squares), steroid-naïve atopic asthmatic children (open triangles), and steroid-treated (ST) children with atopic asthma (filled triangles). LTB₄ values are expressed as picograms produced during 15 minutes of breathing. Median values are shown with horizontal bars.

We did not study the effects of allergen challenge on exhaled LTB₄ in atopic nonasthmatic children and atopic asthmatic children. Similar exhaled LTB₄ values in healthy children and atopic nonasthmatic children who had either perennial rhinitis or were recently exposed to a relevant allergen indicate that allergen exposure has little, if any, effect on exhaled LTB₄ in atopic nonasthmatic children. However, allergen challenge studies to formally address this issue are warranted. The effect of allergen challenge on exhaled LTB₄ levels in atopic asthmatic children is unknown and needs to be clarified.

In contrast to exhaled LTB₄, exhaled NO levels were elevated in atopic nonasthmatic children although this increase was less pronounced than in steroid-naïve asthmatic children. These findings and the lack of correlation between exhaled LTB₄ and exhaled NO levels suggest a different biological significance for these two biomarkers as exhaled LTB₄ might be considered as a marker of asthma, whereas exhaled NO might reflect the different degree of inflammation within the respiratory tract in atopic children with and without asthma. This may have important implications for diagnosis and treatment of children with allergic disease.

Measurement of exhaled LTB₄ might help identify those children with no current respiratory symptoms, well-maintained lung function and elevated exhaled NO who are not only atopic but have ongoing asthmatic inflammation which may require earlier pharmacological therapy. Moreover, elevated exhaled NO levels in atopic nonasthmatic children with rhinitis reflect the presence of inflammation within the airways as the restricted exhalation method ensures velum closure excluding contamination of the exhaled air with nasal NO [7]. This is consistent with a common origin for allergic rhinitis and asthma [1]. However, larger studies are needed to assess
the biological significance of these findings and their implications.

In the present study, median exhaled LTB₄ concentrations in children who were receiving inhaled fluticasone were lower than those in steroid-naïve asthmatic children. A significant reduction in exhaled LTB₄ levels was observed only in the group of children who were receiving 200 µg/day of inhaled fluticasone, whereas in children who were receiving a daily dose of inhaled fluticasone of 100 µg median exhaled LTB₄ levels were similar to those in steroid-naïve asthmatic children. These findings might indicate that the lower dose of fluticasone is unable to reduce exhaled LTB₄ levels in asthmatic children. However, there was a high individual variability in exhaled LTB₄ concentrations in both subgroups of steroid-treated asthmatic children as well as in steroid-naïve asthmatic children which needs to be addressed in future studies. In a previous open-label uncontrolled study, treatment with inhaled fluticasone (100 µg twice a day for 4 weeks) had no effect on exhaled LTB₄ concentrations in atopic asthmatic children with similar features [14]. This might be due to differences in the study design (open-label vs observational), duration of treatment (4 weeks vs at least 8 weeks), and the analytical technique used for measuring LTB₄ in EBC (enzyme immunoassay vs LC/MS/MS) as concentrations of exhaled LTB₄ measured by MS are 10-fold higher than those measured by immunoassay. However, the cross-sectional study design of the present study precludes definitive conclusions on the effect of inhaled corticosteroids on exhaled LTB₄ in asthmatic children for which large controlled studies are required.

One limitation of the EBC analysis is that the cellular source of the inflammatory mediators within the airways cannot be ascertained. To this aim, invasive techniques such as bronchial biopsies are required.

To adjust for possible variations in the EBC sample volume, LTB₄ values in EBC were expressed as total amount of the eicosanoid expired over a standard period of collection. However, as pointed out by Effros and coworkers, part of the variation in non-volatile compound concentrations in EBC may be related to differences in the dilution of respiratory droplets by water vapor [21]. The lack of correlation between structurally related compounds such as LTB₄ and LTE₄ reported in previous studies [13,14] does not seem to support this evidence. However, reference indicators such as measurement of conductivity as proposed by Effros and coworkers [22] should be used in future studies aiming at quantifying exhaled eicosanoids.

**Conclusion**

Exhaled LTB₄ is elevated in steroid-naïve atopic asthmatic children, but not in children receiving inhaled
corticosteroids and in atopic nonasthmatic children. LC/MS/MS analysis of exhaled LTβ4 might provide a completely non-invasive, sensitive, and quantitative method for airway inflammation assessment in asthmatic children. This technique is potentially applicable to patients with other lung diseases and suitable for longitudinal studies and assessing pharmacological therapy. The high cost is currently an important limitation. Further research is warranted for the complete characterization of the exhaled substances including cysteinyl-leukotrienes and for establishing the effect anti-asthmatic drugs on exhaled LTβ4.
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